

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 191500
ORIGIN EA-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EB-08 COME-00 TRSE-00 STR-07 OPIC-06
XMB-04 AID-05 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 /053 R

DRAFTED BY EA/EP:DHSTEBBING:MGH
APPROVED BY EA:EHHEGINBOTHAM
EA/EP:ACALBRECHT
EB/TCA/OCA:AZUCCA (INFO)
EB/IFD/OIA:MBOERNER (INFO)
COMMERCE:RGARNITZ (INFO)
-----009466 290057Z /70

P 282200Z JUL 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY MANILA PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY BANGKOK PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY CANBERRA PRIORITY
AMCONSUL HONG KONG PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY JAKARTA PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY KUALA LUMPUR PRIORITY
AMEMBASSY SEOUL PRIORITY

UNCLAS STATE 191500

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: BEXP, ETRD, EINV

SUBJECT: JOINT STUDY PROGRAM WITH APCAC

REFS: A. STATE 166138 B. MANILA 12482

1. REQUEST THAT EMBASSY MEET WITH BURRIDGE AGAIN TO
CLARIFY PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS WHICH FOLLOW AND GET INITIAL
STUDY INTO HIGH GEAR.

2. DURING MAY VISIT TO WASHINGTON, APCAC LEADERSHIP,
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 191500

PARTICULARLY WOODY SPONAUGLE, MADE A POINT OF THE NEED
TO ADOPT STREAMLINED PROCEDURES IF JOINT STUDY PROGRAM
IS TO MAKE HEADWAY. AT THAT TIME WE GAVE APCAC LEADERSHIP
A LIST OF POSSIBLE PROJECTS, AND THEY INDICATED SEVERAL
THEY CONSIDERED PARTICULARLY WORTHWHILE. APCAC LEADERSHIP
RECOMMENDED, THEREFORE, THAT THOSE PROJECTS BE CONSIDERED
APPROVED IN PRINCIPLE, AND THAT STATE AND COMMERCE WORK

DIRECTLY WITH APCAC HEADQUARTERS ON THE FORMULATION OF THE INAUGURAL PROJECT. THUS, IN REF A, WE ASKED EMBASSY TO PROVIDE DRAFT PROJECT TO LEW BURRIDGE FOR HIS ADVICE AND APPROVAL. THE INTENT WAS TO PRESENT APPROVED PROJECT OUTLINE TO EMBASSIES AND AMCHAMS IN READY-TO-GO FORM.

3. REQUEST, THEREFORE, THAT EMBASSY DISCUSS POINTS IN PARA 2 WITH BURRIDGE AND ELICIT HIS UNDERSTANDING ON PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL AND FORMULATION OF INITIAL PROJECT. IF BURRIDGE NOW BELIEVES IT NECESSARY TO CONSULT AMCHAMS ON PROPOSED PROJECT OUTLINE BEFORE HE CAN GIVE STAMP OF APPROVAL, HAS HE CIRCULATED DRAFT TO THEM? DOES HE OR AMCHAM MANILA HAVE PROBLEMS WITH OUR PROPOSED OUTLINE? COULD YOU ALSO CLARIFY WHETHER THE AMCHAM WORK PROGRAMS HE REQUESTED FROM OTHER AMCHAMS INCLUDE OR ARE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT?

VANCE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 191500
ORIGIN EA-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /013 R

66011
DRAFTED BY EA/EP:DHSTEBBING
APPROVED BY EA/EP:ADALBRECHT
-----065928 030553Z /21

R 030240Z AUG 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
INFO RUKOQS/AMEMBASSY SINGAPORE 8967
AMEMBASSY TAIPEI
AMEMBASSY TOKYO

UNCLAS STATE 191500

FOL RPT STATE 191500 SENT ACTION MANILA INFO BANGKOK, CANBERRA,
HONG KONG, JAKARTA, KUALA LUMPUR & SEOUL DTD 28 JUL 78

QUOTE: UNCLAS STATE 191500

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: BEXP, ETRD, EINV

SUBJECT: JOINT STUDY PROGRAM WITH APCAC

REFS: A. STATE 166138 B. MANILA 12482

1. REQUEST THAT EMBASSY MEET WITH BURRIDGE AGAIN TO CLARIFY PROCEDURAL QUESTIONS WHICH FOLLOW AND GET INITIAL STUDY INTO HIGH GEAR.

2. DURING MAY VISIT TO WASHINGTON, APCAC LEADERSHIP, PARTICULARLY WOODY SPONAUGLE, MADE A POINT OF THE NEED TO ADOPT STREAMLINED PROCEDURES IF JOINT STUDY PROGRAM IS TO MAKE HEADWAY. AT THAT TIME WE GAVE APCAC LEADERSHIP UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 191500

A LIST OF POSSIBLE PROJECTS, AND THEY INDICATED SEVERAL THEY CONSIDERED PARTICULARLY WORTHWHILE. APCAC LEADERSHIP RECOMMENDED, THEREFORE, THAT THOSE PROJECTS BE CONSIDERED APPROVED IN PRINCIPLE, AND THAT STATE AND COMMERCE WORK DIRECTLY WITH APCAC HEADQUARTERS ON THE FORMULATION OF THE INAUGURAL PROJECT. THUS, IN REF A, WE ASKED EMBASSY TO PROVIDE DRAFT PROJECT TO LEW BURRIDGE FOR HIS ADVICE AND APPROVAL. THE INTENT WAS TO PRESENT APPROVED PROJECT OUTLINE TO EMBASSIES AND AMCHAMS IN READY-TO-GO FORM.

3. REQUEST, THEREFORE, THAT EMBASSY DISCUSS POINTS IN PARA 2 WITH BURRIDGE AND ELICIT HIS UNDERSTANDING ON PROCEDURE FOR APPROVAL AND FORMULATION OF INITIAL PROJECT. IF BURRIDGE NOW BELIEVES IT NECESSARY TO CONSULT AMCHAMS ON PROPOSED PROJECT OUTLINE BEFORE HE CAN GIVE STAMP OF APPROVAL, HAS HE CIRCULATED DRAFT TO THEM? DOES HE OR AMCHAM MANILA HAVE PROBLEMS WITH OUR PROPOSED OUTLINE? COULD YOU ALSO CLARIFY WHETHER THE AMCHAM WORK PROGRAMS HE REQUESTED FROM OTHER AMCHAMS INCLUDE OR ARE IN ADDITION TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT? VANCE UNQUOTE VANCE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 jan 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PROGRAMS (PROJECTS), CHAMBERS OF COMMERCE
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 28 jul 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 jan 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE191500
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: DHSTEBBING:MGH
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D780311-0116
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t1978078/aaaaaghx.tel
Line Count: 156
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 097a6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EA
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 78 STATE 166138
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29 mar 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: N/A
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2067558
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: JOINT STUDY PROGRAM WITH APCAC
TAGS: BEXP, ETRD, EINV, APCAC
To: MANILA
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/097a6078-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014